

Modeling Nested Data Using Two Different Estimators and Score Metrics

Atlanta, Georgia

April 8, 2005

ELLM Author

Dr. Stephanie BWehry

Assistant Director for Research, Florida Institute of
Education PCER, Co-Investigator

The Preschool Curriculum Evaluation Research (PCER) program funded by the Institute of Education Sciences (IES), U.S. Department of Education includes a national evaluation study conducted by RTI International and Mathematica Policy Research (MPR), and complementary research studies conducted by each grantee. The findings reported here are based on the complementary research activities carried out by the Florida Institute of Education at the University of North Florida under the PCER program. These findings may differ from the results reported for the PCER national evaluation study. The

Introduction

Purposes of this study are to:

- To investigate the effect of using ML and MLR estimators (Cluster Analysis) SEMs when scores are nested.
- To determine the direct and indirect effects of age and intervention on raw and standardized posttest scores.

Introduction 2

Age Coefficients and Intraclass Correlations (HLM Studies)

TERA3 Test	Age Effect	Intraclass Correlation
Alphabet	-0.0826	.15
Conventions of Print	-0.1906	.06
Meaning	-0.1539	.14

Introduction 4

Methodological Issues in the Investigation of Age and the Effectiveness of ELLM

- Early literacy constructs are multivariate and immature.
- Need to model direct and

Introduction 5

Purposes of this study are to:

- To investigate the effect of using ML and MLR estimators (Cluster Analysis) SEMs when scores are nested.
- To determine the direct and indirect effects of age and intervention on raw and standardized posttest scores.

Method

Variables Used in the Study

Variable Label	Variable Description
Gender	Coded 1 for boys and 0 for girls.
Age	Age of the children in months on September 1 of the school year.
Status	Coded 1 for ELLM and 0 for M Control.
Fabc (Sabc)	Fall (Spring) ALRI score (number of letters recognized).
Alph	TERA-3 Alphabet subtest.
Conv	TERA-3 Convention of Print subtest.
Mg	TERA-3 Meaning subtest.
Ak	Alphabet knowledge fall latent variable measured by <i>Fabc</i> and <i>PreAlph</i> .
PostAk	Alphabet knowledge spring latent variable measured by <i>Sabc</i> and <i>PostAlph</i> .

Note: Italicized font indicates standardized scores, regular font indicates raw scores.

Prefix: Pre indicates a fall score, Post indicates a spring score.

Bold indicates a latent variable.

Results

Model Fit Statistics

Metric	Estimator	CFI	TLI	RMSEA	SRMR
Standardized	ML	0.999	0.993	0.024	0.018
Standardized	MLR	1.000	1.002	0.000	0.018
Raw	ML	0.980	0.961	0.057	0.025
Raw	MLR	0.983	0.965	0.051	0.025

- The fit statistics are comparable across metrics.
- All statistics indicate good fit.







Results 6

Total Effect of Age on Conventions of Print Posttest Scores

Path PostConv	Effect PostConv
Age•PostConv	-0.203
Age•PreConv•PosConv	-0.007
Age•Fabc•PosConv	0.012
Age•Sabc•PosConv	0.014
Age•Fabc•Sabc•PosConv	0.019
Total	-0.165

Effects are in metric of the variables.

Results 8

Total Effect on ELLM on Alphabet Posttest Scores

PathPostAlpha	Effect PostAlpha
Status•PostAlpha	0.421
Status•Sabc•PostAlpha	0.403
Total	0.824
Effect Size	0.233

Effects are in metric of the variables.

Results 9

Total Effect on ELLM on Conventions of Print Posttest Scores

Path PostConv	Effect PostConv
Status•Sabc•PostConv	0.102
Total	0.102
Effect Size	0.044

Effects are in metric of the variables.

Results 10

Total Effect on ELLM on Meaning Posttest Scores

Path PostMg	Effect PostMg
Status•PostMg	0.460
Status•Sabc•PostMg	0.063
Total	0.523
Effect Size	0.255

Effects are in metric of the variables.

Conclusion

ML and MLR Estimators

- As ICC increases so does the MLR estimate of the treatment standard error. Where ICC is minimal, increase in treatment standard error is minimal.
- Use of MLR is recommended for nested data.

Conclusion 2

Score Metrics

- Number of correct items measures a different construct than the standardized number of correct items.
- Standardized scores answer research questions about program effectiveness in terms of improved ranking of raw scores relative to national normative samples. This process adjusts for normal

Conclusion 3

Total Effect of Age

The positive direct and indirect effects of age on the Fab and Sub scores mediate the negative direct effect of age:

- 67% on the Alphabet posttest scores.
- 19% on the Conventions of Print posttest scores.
- 16% on the Meaning posttest scores.



Implications of the Study 2

ELLM Theory of Action

Cluster analyses indicate that fall and spring alphabet letter knowledge have positive indirect and direct effects on children's posttest emergent literacy achievement scores.

For more information visit us at:
www.unf.edu/dept/fie/ellm